Order Now! "The Wuhan Cover-Up" by RFK Jr.!

55 U.S. Doctors Behind Psychiatric Diagnosis Manual Took $14M From Drug Companies

A BMJ report revealed that six of the doctors on panels deciding diagnoses and treatments for the "bible" of psychiatry disorders also received industry-loss totaling millions. The authors of the report said such conflicts of interest distort current guidelines and public trust.

By **Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.**

Miss a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.**

Fifty-five of the U.S. doctors who helped decide what diagnoses and treatments were included in the American Psychiatric Association's (APA) main diagnostic manual received more than $14 million in undisclosed industry funding, a report in The BMJ revealed.

According to The BMJ:

> "The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders published by the American Psychiatric Association standardizes symptom criteria and conditions for psychiatric treatments. The manual plays a central role in the approval of new psychiatric drugs and the extension of patient exclusivity, and it can influence payers and mental health professionals who seek third party reimbursements."

The BMJ found that the doctors who received the most money — often in the form of food, beverages, travel and consulting reimbursements — were those working in diagnostic areas "where interventions are often the standard treatments, such as depressive disorders, neurocognitive disorders and drug induced movement disorders."

The study's lead investigator, Lisa Cosgrove, Ph.D., a professor and clinical psychologist in the Applied Ethics Center at the University of Massachusetts, Boston, told Medscape Medical News, the study's intent was "not to point fingers at the APA or individual members of the APA but rather to provide hopefully a small piece of evidence that could help the APA look at the larger systemic issue of conflicts of interest."

Justine Tanguay, a lawyer with Children's Health Defense and research director for the organization's Reform Pharma initiative, praised the researchers for bringing public awareness to the issue.

Tanguay told The Defender:

> "It's an outrageous concept to think that a doctor, scientist or public health official is paid or funded by Big Pharma that he or she can present or recommend an independent viewpoint.
>
> "It doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand that even the perception of a conflict of interest undermines the integrity of science."

The Reform Pharma campaign is working to "systematically remove Big Pharma corruption and to restore the healthcare system" — which is needed now more than ever because "such conflicts of interest … have become the norm," Tanguay said.

"The Wuhan Cover-Up"
by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

A problem for over a decade

Cosgrove — who previously served as a research fellow at the Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics, Harvard University — has for over a decade studied conflicts of interest among contributors to the APA's manual.

The high percentage of doctors with industry ties reported by the latest BMJ study, published Wednesday, mirrors the findings reported in her previous research.

"What we also see that's consistent with our 2016 study and 2012 study is the panels for which the members had the most financial ties to industry were those for which pharmaceutical interventions are the first line of therapy," Cosgrove said.

After duplicate names had been removed, The BMJ identified 168 individuals who served as either panel or task force members of the DSM-5-TR. Of those, 92 met the inclusion criteria of being a physician based in the U.S. and therefore could be reimbursed by Open Payments, a public database of payments.

Eighty-six of the doctors were panel members for the DSM-5-TR. The other six were task force members who also had decision-making powers.

Of these 92 individuals, 55 (60%) received payments. The authors of the study used OpenPayments to look at the funding the 92 doctors received from 2016 to 2019 — the years just before and during the development of the DSM-5-TR.

The amounts ranged from just under $14 per doctor to $2.7 million per doctor. Collectively, the doctors received more than $14.2 million.

Cosgrove and her co-authors found it particularly unethical that more than one-third of the doctors received money as "compensation for services other than consulting, including serving as a faculty or as a speaker at a venue other than a continuing education program."

In other words, these individuals were hired by drug companies as "key opinion leaders" to speak at pro-industry events. Cosgrove and her co-authors said:

> "Being on a speakers bureau or being a key opinion leader is widely recognized as an egregious financial conflict of interest because the key opinion leader is essentially a marketing one; the talks given are usually presented at educational events sponsored by industry."

Dr. Bernard Lo, professor emeritus of medicine and director emeritus of the Program in Medical Ethics at the University of California, San Francisco, agreed. "The leaders of the group have opinion leaders are hired by the drug companies to talks, meaning they are used by the industry as "basically salespeople trying to increase sales of a product.

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's
New Book: "Vax-Unvax"
ORDER NOW

Full public disclosure needed

Before the development of the DSM-5, the APA claimed the organization's goal was to develop a "transparent process of development for the DSM, and … an unbiased, evidence-based DSM, free from any conflicts of interest."

An APA spokesperson told Medscape that DSM-5-TR decision-makers were not allowed to participate if the organization was made aware they had received more than $5,000 in industry payments and that all who worked on the text revision were required to disclose all sources of income prior to their participation.

"The APA implemented and enforced a rigorous process for DSM-5-TR that required transparency by all contributors of their personal and professional interests, followed by an independent review to ensure that personal and professional interests did not bias any results," the spokesperson said.

The study findings, however, contradicted that claim by the APA. And the APA did not publicly disclose industry ties for the latest edition of the manual, according to the study authors.

The APA also did not publicly disclose minutes of the DSM meetings, summaries of changes proposed by the panel and task force members or reasons for those changes.

Tanguay said the lack of transparency is critical, according to Lo.

"Part of the report should be, 'Here are the conflicts of interest reported by the members of the panel.' … Failure to do that in the DSM-5-TR is unacceptable from an ethical and transparency point of view," Lo said.

The APA's failure to adequately address conflicts of interest doesn't promote transparency or public trust in the diagnostic criteria published in the DSM-5-TR, he said.

Tanguay agreed. "In order to have trust in medicine, we need to have transparency, whether it covers medical research, scientific publications or public health policy."

Your support helps fund
Children's Health Defense's
related advocacy,
education and scientific
research.
DONATE NOW

Those with industry ties should be barred

Cosgrove recommended the APA follow the 2011 report, "Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust," produced by the Institute of Medicine (IOM, now called the National Academy of Medicine). The report set standards and streamlined version of a 2009 conflicts of interest guideline co-authored by Lo.

"The IOM recommended that the whole guideline development group be free of industry ties," Cosgrove said. "At a minimum, the chair … and the majority of folks should not have ties to industry."

Tanguay went further by saying scientists with industry ties should be barred from publication because such ties distort the scientific literature.

Dr. Giovanni A. Fava — a highly regarded researcher and clinician — in 2009 warned, "The increasing influence of the pharmaceutical industry on psychiatric research and practice is leading to an intellectual and clinical crisis."

While some might argue that banning all those with industry ties would shrink the expert pool that develops the DSM and other guidelines, Cosgrove said that's not the case.

"There are hundreds of experts in all medical disciplines that do not have industry ties," she said. "The 'most experts have industry ties' is a spurious and unsupported argument."

The APA should especially ban those who received industry money for serving as key opinion leaders, Lo said.

The APA did not immediately respond to The Defender's request for comment.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name… Last Name… Email… SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.
Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D., is a reporter and researcher for The Defender based in Fairfield, Iowa.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got It

10 Comments · Login

Join the discussion…
LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS
Name
Share
Best Newest Oldest

Aloysius Vaultaire · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
The APA affronted persons with gender dysphoria should receive "gender affirming treatment." This means use drugs and surgeries on minors over the objection of parents. In fact, according to the APA, "calls on the APA to explain why it glaringly ignored many scientific developments in gender-related care and to consider its responsibility to promote the best interests of patients' mental and physical health."
https://www.hsmhpf.org/...
The APA is a tool of progressives to impose their woke agenda.
Reply · Share ›

Beatrice · Aloysius Vaultaire · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
Psychology isn't even a science and the APA is the equivalent of a school board. I have a friend who's a psychiatrist (a medical doctor) say that he would receive his token version of the DSM, he would use it as kindling in his fireplace because it would do nothing but offer heat.
Reply · Share ›

Beatrice · Beatrice · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
As I said, Obama's Surgeon General Lee is inept and a ignorant without consequence. I'll use the DSM-5 to diagnose these doctors:
DSM-5 Category: Personality Disorders
APD (Antisocial Personality Disorder) is a DSM-5 Diagnosis and Cluster B Personality Disorder. The DSM-5 defines the disorder as assigned to individuals who habitually and persistently disregard or violate the rights and considerations of others without remorse. People with Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD) may display behaviors that are illegal, irresponsible, or reckless. They may be convicted criminals, or they may engage in behaviors which skirt the edges of the law, or manipulate and hurt others in non-criminal ways which are widely regarded as unethical, immoral, irresponsible, or in violation of societal norms and expectations. They may be unable to consider or understand moral concerns and make decisions driven purely by their own desires, without considering the needs or negative effects of their actions on others. Individuals with Antisocial Personality Disorder (APD) or psychopathy or sociopathy are also used, in some contexts synonymously, in others, sociopath is differentiated from a psychopath, in that a sociopath is rooted in environmental causes, while psychopathy is genetically based.
I would also add that psychopaths make them role environment or genetics are very simply, people who enjoy causing harm to others.
Reply · Share ›

Vandas · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
Now is it that drugs and classes of drugs are household names – Ritalin and Prozac, statins and antidepressants, and examples of some drugs available that when he would have to ask, Psychiatrist and psychologists? Are they the conformity it. They're in our Physicians, like it or not, you're now controlled pharmacologists. It's been a standardized system for some time.
Reply · Share ›

Dan · 5 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
The larger question here is just how much psychiatry and psychology is just plain speculative quack science, not quite medicine, and should anything beyond maybe a mild sedative be prescribed for anything. I'm a 70 year old male who has had a bad day with a wife portrait and a blood test and a look at the person's daily environment. Putting heavy duty dope is someone might have the problem temporarily but what is honestly wrong with those looks that there is/are a lot of work to begin with. Checking for poisoning, chemical lead exposure, chronic stress, malnutrition etc.
Reply · Share ›

Beatrice · Dan · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
YES!! It's not science!!! Mental health clinicians turn every circumstantial condition into a mental health disease. It's pure quackery.
Reply · Share ›

DaleGeneral · 5 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
Yes, those with ties to the industry should not be able to publish, or function as key opinion influencers. Also, if they do engage in conflicts of interest, they should lose their medical licenses. Period. Medicine is not a game. Medicine is not a business. Medicine is medicine, media etc. These doctors need to be prosecuted, and why would we trust their 'expertise' in their field if they are unethical in other arenas. So, sure third look the 'bribes' are legal, but they are unethical and should be illegal. Medicine is not a business. It's an ethical standard. The whole paradigm has to change. There are no excuses to other activities required to become a doctor besides a MD. Smart Kids can develop a narcissistic viewpoint of themselves as they are in academia, and the doctors that become addictions medicine habits, which is blind, and who pays the piper pattern.
Reply · Share ›

Beatrice · DaleGeneral · 4 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
Science is dead.
I wish more people were aware of how insurance influences our medical outcomes. It's quite insidious and disturbing which is why I canceled my health insurance 30+ years ago. Do some research on Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBM). They're used by some for-by pharma exclusively but insurance companies began forcing them to protect their own interests.
Watch this recent Joe Rogan interview with a pharma rep.
https://www.joerogan.com/...
Reply · Share ›

John · 5 hours ago
Reply · Share ›
I dealt with many mental health workers of all specialties, psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers and mental health counselors, with my son. I naively thought their job was to help patients off meds. Boy, was I wrong.
Reply · Share ›

Subscribe · Privacy · Do Not Sell My Data

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name…
Last Name…
Email…
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in format: +12345678910 or 12345678910 or 123-456-7890.
CONTINUE

Latest News
"Remarkable" Drop in Fertility, Sperm Counts Worldwide Linked to Industrial Chemicals
Pfizer Gave a Widow of Dr. Vaxx CEO Referees COVID Vaccine Materials Killed Her Husband
Farmed Salmon Producers to Use Genetically Modified Fish Food
MORE NEWS

Latest Videos
'Our Climate Is Clear': Holocaust Survivor Describes History of Propaganda in the Lead-Up to WWII, Led to Today's 'Globalists' Power Grab
Dropmakers Hid Suicides, Manipulated Data to Falsely Show Safety in Antidepressant Drug Trials
Watch: 'Mothers Have to Resist' — Scientist Warns Against Mass Testing for Newborns
MORE VIDEOS